



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,230	01/20/2006	Philippe Erbs	1032751-000131	2237
21839	7590	03/31/2010	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				LEAVITT, MARIA GOMEZ
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1633				
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		03/31/2010		ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com  
offserv@bipc.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/565,230	ERBS, PHILIPPE	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
MARIA LEAVITT	1633	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Maria Leavitt.

(3) Roy Roberts.

(2) Joseph Woitach.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 March 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maria Leavitt/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicants' representative, Roy Roberts, on March 22 to discuss a proposed amendment to claim 12 and cancellation of withdrawn claims to set forth the claims in condition for allowance. The examiner contacted Applicants' representative a second time on March 26, 2010 after holding an allowability conference with her Supervisor Joseph Woitach. It was decided that no amendment was necessary for pending claim 12 filed on 12-28-2009. Accordingly, the examiner requested cancellation of previously withdrawn claims 1-11, 30, 31, 35-38 and 47-52 directed to non-elected inventions. Applicants' representative, Roy Roberts, will contact inventors to find out whether Applicants authorize cancellation of withdrawn claims.